ABSTRACT: We examine the coupling of the dynamics of flexible viral nanoparticles to the dynamics of comparably sized polymer chains. Using fluorescence microscopy, we quantify the dynamics of three filamentous viruses, potato virus M (PVM), M13, and pf1, that are suspended in semidilute solutions of partially hydrolyzed polyacrylamide. The dynamics of the viral nanoparticles are approximately diffusive on accessible time and length scales, but the distributions of displacements are non-Gaussian and exhibit increasingly extended tails as the aspect ratio of the viruses or the polymer concentration is increased. The long-time diffusion coefficients do not collapse onto a universal curve based on existing models for rodlike or spherical nanoparticles that are comparably sized to the polymer chains. Instead, the diffusivities appear to collapse as a function of the ratio of the polymer correlation length and a length scale intermediate between the virus radius and length, indicating that the hydrodynamic coupling to the polymer dynamics is affected by the virus anisotropy and flexibility.

INTRODUCTION

Highly anisotropic nanoparticles are used to impart desired functionality to polymer nanocomposites, to deliver drugs and therapeutic agents in nanomedicine, and as nanoscale viscometers in complex fluids. The transport of anisotropic particles in polymer solutions and melts, essential for these applications, is expected to differ from that of micron-sized spherical particles. For spherical nanoparticles, the Stokes–Einstein (SE) equation \( D_{\text{SE}} = k_B T/6\pi \eta R_{\text{NP}} \) relates the diffusion coefficient \( D_{\text{SE}} \) of a particle of radius \( R \) to the viscosity \( \eta \) of the background fluid, which is assumed to be homogeneous. The assumption that a complex fluid is homogeneous is not valid, however, when the length scales of the particle and the fluid are comparable, as is often found for nanoparticles in polymer media. Thus, the diffusivity of nanoparticles in polymer solutions and melts can strongly deviate from the SE prediction. Several theories and models have been developed to describe nanoparticle dynamics in polymeric matrices. In obstruction models, polymer coils are treated as static and rigid objects around which the particle must move. Hydrodynamic models, alternatively, posit that polymers interact with particles through viscous drag and predict that hydrodynamic interactions are screened over the polymer correlation length \( \xi \). Scaling models extend hydrodynamic theories to account for the coupling between the particle dynamics and those of the surrounding polymer chains. These theories, however, were developed for isotropic, spherical nanoparticles and may not be readily applicable to anisotropic particles, which are characterized by multiple length scales. Even in Newtonian fluids, nanorods experience different viscous forces and angular moments than nanospheres of an equal radius. In polymer systems, nanorods with aspect ratios \( AR = L/R \) of order 10 violate Stokes–Einstein predictions to diffuse faster than spherical particles of a similar hydrodynamic radius when the nanorod diameter \( 2R \) is comparable to characteristic polymer length scales. Further, as \( AR \) increases, the nanorod translational diffusivity \( D_t \) decreases more slowly than rotational diffusivity \( D_r \). Tuning the interactions between the nanorod and the polymer matrix can also increase the diffusivity of nanorods. While these comparisons have provided important insights into how anisotropic particles experience local structural heterogeneities remains incompletely understood. Intriguingly, the fact that the enhanced diffusivity of nanorods diminishes as \( L/R \) is increased suggests that a competition between \( L \) and \( R \) controls nanorod diffision. Here, we measure the dynamics of semiflexible, anisotropic virus particles as model nanorods with large aspect ratios in semidilute polymer solutions. Using fluorescence microscopy, we quantify the dynamics of three labeled filamentous viruses, PVM, M13, and pf1, in solutions of partially hydrolyzed polyacrylamide. The long-time diffusion coefficients of the filamentous viral nanoparticles are an order of magnitude faster than expected but do not collapse onto a universal curve based on existing models for rodlike or spherical nanoparticles that are comparably sized to the polymer chains.
on existing models for rods or spheres. Instead, the long-time diffusion coefficients can be collapsed onto a master curve as a function of the ratio of the polymer correlation length and a length scale between virus L and R. This collapse demonstrates the important role of particle length scales on the dynamics of highly anisotropic viral nanoparticles in polymer solutions.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Viral and Spherical Particles.** Three different filamentous viral nanoparticles were used in these experiments: a plant virus, potato virus M (PVM; ASLA biotech), and two filamentous bacteriophages (viruses that infect bacteria), M13 (Gibco BRL) and pf1 (ASLA biotech) (Figure 1a). M13 and pf1 are semiflexible filamentous bacteriophages (Figure 1b). The virus stock (100 μL) was buffer-exchanged from the storage buffer to the dye conjugation buffer, 0.2 M sodium bicarbonate at pH 8.3, using a Zeba column (Zeba Spin Desalting Column, 7K MWCO; Thermo Fisher Scientific). After buffer exchange, 10 μL of Alexa555 dye solution (10 mg mL\(^{-1}\) Alexa555 in dimethyl sulfoxide; Thermo Fisher Scientific) was added to the buffer-exchanged virus solution and incubated overnight at 4 °C. The virus-dye solutions were dialyzed with a Float-A-Lyzer (Figures 1b).

**Preparation of Virus−Polymer Solutions.** Partially hydrolyzed polyacrylamide (HPAM, FLOPAAM 3330; SNF) was used as a model crowding agent. To determine the overlap concentration, the viscosity as a function of HPAM concentration in 1X PBS was measured using an Ubbelohde viscometer (Supporting Information). The intrinsic viscosity \(\eta_T\) was extracted from the first-order pseudovirial expansion of viscosity \(n\) as a function of polymer mass concentration \(c, \eta = \eta_0 (1 + \eta_T c)\). The overlap concentration \(c^* = 0.31 g L^{-1}\) was estimated as the inverse of the intrinsic viscosity \(c^* = 1/\eta_T\). The radius of gyration \(R_g = 220\) nm of the HPAM in 1X PBS was then calculated using

\[
R_g = \left( \frac{M_w}{2 \pi N_A \eta_T} \right)^{1/3}
\]

where \(M_w = 8 \times 10^5 g mol^{-1}\) is the molecular weight of HPAM and \(N_A\) is Avogadro's number. Homogeneous HPAM/PBS stock solutions were prepared by mixing HPAM in 1X PBS at a concentration of 18.6 mg mL\(^{-1}\) using a tube roller for 1 week at room temperature to create a 60% stock solution. Polymer solutions were prepared by diluting the homogenized stock solution to the desired concentration. Virus particles were added to the homogenized polymer solutions and allowed to equilibrate overnight at 4 °C.

**Imaging and Tracking of Viruses.** Viral nanoparticles were imaged in air-tight sample chambers consisting of glass microscope slides (Gold Seal Cover Glass; Thermo Fisher Scientific) that were sealed with Norland Optical Adhesive 81 (Norland Products). To reduce the nonspecific binding of viruses on the surface of the sample chambers, microscope slides were coated with BSA (bovine serum albumin heat shock fraction, pH 7, ≥98%; Thermo Fisher Scientific) prior to chamber assembly. Slides were soaked in a solution of 2.5% w/v BSA in water for 1 h at room temperature. After soaking, the slides were wiped carefully with Kimwipes to remove excess liquid and dried, covered, overnight at room temperature.

**Viral nanoparticles suspended in polymer solutions were imaged on a DMi3000 B microscope (Leica) furnished with an HCX PL APO 100X/1.40−0.70 oil immersion objective (Leica) and an N31 filter cube (Leica) using an sCMOS pco.edge 4.2 m camera (PCOTM) at 20 frames per second and 50 ms exposure time. The focus of the objective lens was positioned at least 20 μm away from the bottom surface of the sample chamber to minimize any effects from the
chamber surfaces. Five microscope videos with 1000 frames per video were captured and analyzed using particle-tracking algorithms\textsuperscript{35} to obtain particle trajectories. Although the virus dimensions cannot be directly extracted from microscopy because the virus nanoparticle diameter is below the optical diffraction limit, the centroids of the viruses can still be imaged and tracked over time.

From the particle trajectories, the one-dimensional, ensemble-averaged mean-squared displacement (MSD) \((\Delta x^2)\) was calculated as a function of lag time \(\Delta t\). For each polymer solution concentration, \(D_s\) was extracted from a linear fit of the long-time MSD versus lag time via \((\Delta x^2(\Delta t)) = 2D_s\Delta t\). We also calculated the probability distribution of displacements (PDD) \(G_\text{dis}(\Delta x, \Delta t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi \Delta t}} \exp\left[-\frac{\Delta x^2}{2\Delta t}\right]\), which measures the probability of a particle displacing a distance \(\Delta x\) at a lag time \(\Delta t\).

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

### Viral Nanoparticle Dynamics

The MSDs of PVM (aspect ratio AR of 15), M13 (AR of 150), and pf1 (AR of 330) suspended in 1X PBS scale approximately linearly with \(\Delta t\). From a linear fit of the MSDs, we determine the translational diffusivities \(D_s\) as 1.63 ± 0.07, 1.57 ± 0.12, and 2.02 ± 0.14 \(\mu m^2 s^{-1}\) for PVM, M13, and pf1, respectively. The corresponding hydrodynamic radii, determined using the SE equation, are 131 ± 6, 136 ± 11, and 106 ± 8 nm for PVM, M13, and pf1, respectively. The diffusivity measured for M13 in dilute solution is in reasonable agreement with earlier measurements of the diffusivity of another Ff bacteriophage, the closely related and structurally similar fd virus,\textsuperscript{36,37} and is somewhat larger than the diffusivity of M13 in concentrated solutions near the isotropic-to-nematic transition.\textsuperscript{38} The hydrodynamic radii of M13 and pf1 are much smaller than the radius of gyration of rigid rods of corresponding length and width (520 and 120 nm, respectively, for M13 and pf1), suggesting that these viruses behave as semiflexible particles. This observation is consistent with expectations based on the ratio of virus persistence length \(L_p\) and contour length \(L_c\), which for M13 and pf1 are 0.45 and 1.0, respectively.\textsuperscript{35,32} The measured hydrodynamic radius of PVM, however, is close to that of its rigid-rod counterpart (120 nm), consistent with \(L_p/L_c = 0.1\).

The MSDs of PVM, M13, and pf1 scale approximately linearly with \(\Delta t\) for all \(c/c^*\) within the experimental error. This linearity indicates that the viral nanoparticles move diffusively through the polymer solution on all accessible time scales (Figure 2). Similar diffusive behavior has been observed for other anisotropic nanoparticles, including colloidal nanorods diffusing through polymeric\textsuperscript{28} and mucosal gels,\textsuperscript{36} entangled wormlike micelle solutions,\textsuperscript{40} and semifluid and entangled polymer solutions.\textsuperscript{34} Likewise, the MSDs of spherical particles scale linearly with \(\Delta t\) on accessible time scales (Supporting Information). Additionally, the virus MSDs decrease with increasing \(c/c^*\) as the viscosity of the polymer solutions increases. The MSDs represent an ensemble average of the particle dynamics but do not provide information on how individual particles move through the solutions. Thus, to elucidate the microscopic processes that control viral nanoparticle transport, we next examine the distributions of particle displacements.

Even though the virus MSDs increase linearly with time, the PDDs \(G_\text{dis}(\Delta x, \Delta t)\) are strongly non-Gaussian for all three viral nanoparticles (PVM, M13, pf1) and for all polymer concentrations (Figure 3). To compare the dynamics of particles at different \(c/c^*\), we normalize the displacement \(\Delta x\) by the diffusive displacement at a lag time \(\Delta t (2D_s\Delta t)^{1/2}\). For a given virus, the extended tails of the PDDs more strongly deviate from the Gaussian prediction as \(c/c^*\) is increased. Both the maximum displacement and the probability of large displacements increase with the polymer concentration. Similarly, for a constant \(c/c^*\), the non-Gaussianity of the PDDs is enhanced as the virus AR is increased (PVM < M13 < pf1). These two effects result in smaller deviations from the Gaussian distribution for PVM and larger deviations for pf1 (Figure 4). Thus, these viral nanoparticles exhibit Fickian but non-Gaussian dynamics\textsuperscript{31} in semifluid polymer solutions. These dynamics may arise from one or more mechanisms. The displacement distributions include contributions from motions both parallel to and perpendicular to the long axis of the phage. The relative contributions likely change as the phage aspect ratio is increased and as the medium response becomes increasingly non-Newtonian (i.e., as the polymer concentration is increased). Additionally, non-Gaussian PDDs are reported for shapes in which the center of hydrodynamic stress does not coincide with the center of tracking.\textsuperscript{24,47} This effect may also contribute to the pronounced non-Gaussian PDDs of the semiflexible M13 and pf1.

Fickian diffusion concurrent with non-Gaussian displacement distributions has been widely reported for particles in complex fluids.\textsuperscript{44–48} This behavior is often attributed to a distribution of diffusivities,\textsuperscript{41,44,49} which can arise as particles experience distinct local environments due to structural heterogeneities; through spatial and temporal variations in hydrodynamic interactions through the medium; or through the formation and breaking of transient cages, leading to
To assess the importance of structural heterogeneities for non-Gaussian dynamics, we calculated the PDDs for spherical particles of comparable hydrodynamic radii in the polymer solutions. The PDDs collapse onto a single Gaussian distribution for all spherical particles and for all $c/c^*$ (Supporting Information). This result is consistent with earlier studies of spherical particles in semidilute polymer solutions$^{48,53,54}$ and suggests that structural heterogeneities in the solution do not control the non-Gaussian dynamics exhibited by the viruses. The solutions are formulated at concentrations below the entanglement concentration of HPAM in 1X PBS ($c_e > 20c^*$, Supporting Information), indicating that entanglements do not contribute to the non-Gaussian dynamics. Similarly, neither reptation$^{55−57}$ nor hopping mechanisms can explain viral nanoparticle dynamics in solutions of concentration less than $c_e$.

Instead, we hypothesize that the anisotropy of filamentous viruses gives rise to the non-Gaussian distributions observed for virus dynamics. Filamentous viruses are characterized by two length scales, their radius $R$ and width $L$. We posit that the two characteristic length scales result in different degrees of coupling between viral nanoparticle dynamics and those of the polymer in solution when the virus displaces parallel or perpendicular to its major axis. Differences in the hydrodynamic drag forces acting on each of these modes then lead to a distribution of diffusivities. In support of this picture, we note that the extended tails of the non-Gaussian distributions become more prominent as the AR of the virus increases (Figure 4), which we expect exaggerates the difference in hydrodynamics between parallel and perpendicular modes. These differences in coupling are also likely to affect the dependence of the ensemble-averaged diffusivities on the polymer concentration.

**Scaling of the Long-Time Diffusivity.** To determine the effects of the multiple diffusive modes on the transport of viral nanoparticles, we compare the long-time translational diffusivities of the viruses (extracted from the ensemble-averaged MSDs in Figure 2) to those of spherical nanoparticles. The normalized diffusivities of spherical particles, for which the PDDs are Gaussian, collapse onto a single curve as a function of $c/c^*$ (Figure 5). This result indicates that the dynamics of spherical nanoparticles follow the SE prediction obtained using the bulk viscosity. In sharp contrast, the virus diffusivities do not collapse on this SE master curve. Instead, the virus diffusivities are up to 30 times greater than the SE prediction.

Faster-than-expected dynamics in polymer solutions have been observed for spherical nanoparticles whose size is comparable to length scales characterizing the polymer ($R_g, \xi$)$^{24,53,54}$ In this size regime, the dynamics of the particles decouple from the solution viscosity and instead couple to the dynamics of the polymer chains.$^{16}$ This coupling is controlled by the particle size, resulting in dynamics that collapse as a function of $R/\xi$. For anisotropic nanoparticles, however, it is
not clear which length scale or scales control the particle diffusivity. The non-Gaussianity of the PDDs suggests that both the radius and length play a role in how filamentous viruses move through polymer solutions. We therefore examine the dependence of the diffusivity on \( R, L \), and their combinations (Table 1).

Table 1. Different Length Scales \( S_i \) for PVM, M13, and pf1 Virus Particles

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( S_i ) [nm]</th>
<th>L</th>
<th>2R</th>
<th>( L/\ln(L/R) )</th>
<th>( R/\ln(L/R) )</th>
<th>( R_L )</th>
<th>( R_{SA} )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PVM</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M13</td>
<td>900</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pf1</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>310</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

We first examine the dependence of the normalized translational diffusivity \( D_t/D_0 \) on \( L/\xi \) and \( R/\xi \) (Figure 6a,b). Neither ratio is able to collapse the virus diffusivities onto a single curve. Whereas \( L/\xi \) shifts the diffusivities of the high-AR (pf1) virus to larger length scales relative to those of the short-AR PVM, \( R/\xi \) conversely shifts PVM to larger effective length scales. We conclude that the controlling length scale must be intermediate between \( R \) and \( L \).

In Newtonian liquids, the dynamics of rigid rods are dissimilar in directions parallel and perpendicular to the major axis due to differences in the hydrodynamic drag equations. The hydrodynamic modes average to produce a length scale of \( \frac{L}{\ln(L/R)} \) that controls the frictional drag and hence the translational diffusivity. Neither this length scale nor its radial counterpart \( R/\ln(L/R) \) is able to collapse the diffusivity data for the viral nanoparticles onto a single curve (Figure 6c,d). This result indicates that the ratio of the hydrodynamic drag forces acting in the directions parallel and perpendicular to the major axis is different in polymer solutions than in Newtonian simple fluids. Further, it also indicates that the hydrodynamic radius of filamentous viruses, measured in 1X PBS (a Newtonian fluid), cannot be used to predict virus dynamics in semidilute polymer solutions.

Finally, a standard approach to determine the hydrodynamic forces on an anisotropic particle is to evaluate the frictional coefficients using a spherical particle of equivalent size as the basis for the Reynolds number \( Re_n \). Inspired by this approach, we attempt to map the anisotropy of the viral nanoparticles onto spheres of an equivalent size through two effective length scales \( R_L = \left( 3R^2L/4 \right)^{1/3} \) and \( R_{SA} = (RL/2)^{1/2} \) (Table 1). These length scales represent a sphere of equivalent volume or surface area to the virus. Both length scales collapse the normalized virus diffusivities onto a single curve within experimental error (Figure 6e,f). Given the error in our measurements, we cannot conclude which of these length scales controls virus diffusion in semidilute polymer solutions. Nonetheless, both collapses support the idea that virus diffusivity depends on a weighted average of the radius and the length of the viral nanoparticle. This finding is consistent with the observation of non-Gaussian PDDs (Figure 3) and with the hypothesis that virus anisotropy leads to differences in the hydrodynamic drag forces that act on motions parallel and perpendicular to the long axis.

### CONCLUSIONS

We measured the dynamics of three filamentous viruses in semidilute solutions of the polymer using optical microscopy. Although the dynamics of the viral nanoparticles are diffusive on accessible time scales, the distributions of particle displacements are strikingly non-Gaussian and feature extended tails that become more pronounced as either the polymer concentration or virus aspect ratio is increased. We attribute the non-Gaussian displacement distributions to the presence of multiple diffusive modes as filamentous viruses move along and normal to their major axis. These diffusive modes are different than those predicted by spherical or rigid-rod scaling theories. Through these multiple diffusive modes, filamentous viruses are able to diffuse through semidilute polymer solutions over an order of magnitude faster than spherical nanoparticles of comparable size. The faster-than-expected diffusivities can be collapsed onto single curves according to effective length scales that are intermediate

![Figure 6](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.macromol.1c00435)
between \( R \) and \( L \), consistent with the idea that filamentous viruses move through parallel and perpendicular motions.

Viruses are useful as tunable model systems for anisotropic particle transport in complex media, as their persistence length62,63 and contour length64 can be modified by controlled mutation. Importantly, the filamentous viruses examined here, like \( \lambda \)-phage,65,66 are semiflexible. Earlier studies on semiflexible carbon nanotubes showed that flexibility controlled their diffusion through polymer gels.56 We speculate that the differences in the diffusive modes of filamentous viruses are related to the flexibility of the viral nanoparticles and the corresponding segmental dynamics56,57,65,66 and plan to explore the role of flexibility in future work.
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